arXiv:1601.05708v1 [math.SG] 21 Jan 2016

REAL SURGERY OF REAL SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS AND ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY

ERWAN BRUGALLÉ

Abstract. A real surgery of a real symplectic manifold $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along a real Lagrangian sphere S is a modification of the real structure on $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ in a neighborhood of S. Genus 0 Welschinger invariants of two real symplectic 4-manifolds differing by such a real surgery have been related in [BP15]. In the present paper, we explore some particular situations where general formulas from [BP15] greatly simplify. As an application, we reduce the computation of genus 0 Welschinger invariants of all del Pezzo surfaces to the cases covered by [Bru15], and of all minimal real conic bundles to the cases covered by [HS12]. We also generalize results from [BP15] to the case of higher genus Welschinger invariants defined in [Shu14]. As a by-product, we establish the existence of some new relative Welschinger invariants.

Contents

1. Introduction . . . 2
2. Real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere . . . 6
2.1. Real structures on the cotangent bundle of a sphere . . . 6
2.2. Real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere . . . 7
3. Welschinger invariants . . . 9
3.1. Preliminaries . . . 9
3.2. Absolute Welschinger invariants . . . 10
3.3. Relative invariants . . . 12
3.4. Real surgery and enumerative geometry . . . 13
3.5. Proof of Theorems 3.7 and 3.10 . . . 15
4. A few concrete computations . . . 17
4.1. Real cubic surface with two real components . . . 17
4.2. Minimal conic bundles . . . 19
4.3. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 . . . 21
References . . . 23

Let Λ be either $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Q}$. Given a (oriented if $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$) smooth compact manifold X of dimension 4, the intersection product of two elements $d_1, d_2 \in H_2(X;\Lambda)$ is denoted by $d_1 \cdot d_2 \in \Lambda$. The class realized in $H_2(X;\Lambda)$ by a 2-cycle C in X is denoted by $[C]$. The orthogonal of a class $\delta \in H_2(X;\Lambda)$ for the intersection form is denoted by $\delta^{\perp}$.

A *real symplectic manifold* $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega_X, \tau_X)$ is a symplectic manifold (X, ω_X) equipped with an anti-symplectic involution τ_X. The *real part* of (X, ω_X, τ_X), denoted by $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$, is by definition the fixed point set of τ_X. In this text, the manifold X will always be 4-dimensional, and we denote

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14P05, 14N10; Secondary 14N35, 14P25.
Key words and phrases. Real enumerative geometry, Welschinger invariants, symplectic sum formula, symplectic field theory.

by $H_2^{\tau_X}(X;\Lambda)$ the space of τ_X-invariant classes, and by $H_2^{-\tau_X}(X;\Lambda)$ the space of τ_X-anti-invariant classes.

1. Introduction

Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} = (X, \omega_X, \tau_X)$ be a real compact symplectic manifold of dimension 4, and let $S \subset X$ be a real Lagrangian sphere in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. a Lagrangian sphere globally invariant under τ_X. It follows from Weinstein Lagrangian neighborhood Theorem that there exists a real open symplectic embedding of a neighborhood V of S to a real affine quadric $(Q, \omega_0, \widetilde{\tau})$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ given by the equation

$$\varepsilon_1 x^2 + \varepsilon_2 y^2 + \varepsilon_3 z^2 = 1 \qquad \text{with } \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Observe that the change of variable $(x, y, z) \mapsto (ix, iy, iz)$ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ provides another real structure τ' on (Q, ω_0) that coincide with $\widetilde{\tau}$ at infinity. As a consequence, one can modify the real structure of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ in V so that V now becomes equivariantly symplectomorphic to a bounded open subset of the real affine quadric in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with equation

$$\varepsilon_1 x^2 + \varepsilon_2 y^2 + \varepsilon_3 z^2 = -1.$$

The resulting real symplectic manifold $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called the *real surgery of* $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ *along* S. We refer to Section 2 for more details about real surgeries along real Lagrangian spheres. Note that $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ have the same underlying smooth manifold.

The two above real quadrics can be put into the real family Q_t of quadrics with equation

$$\varepsilon_1 x^2 + \varepsilon_2 y^2 + \varepsilon_3 z^2 = t \qquad \text{with } |t| \leq 1.$$

The quadric Q_0 is the unique singular quadric of the family, hence $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be thought of two different fibers of a real Lefschetz fibration of over a disk, having a unique singular fiber for which S realizes precisely the vanishing cycle (see Figure 1). From this local description of the real surgery



a) Q_t with equation $x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = t$ b) Q_t with equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = t$

FIGURE 1. Q_t with equation $x^2 + y^2 \pm z^2 = t$

along S, we see that (with the convention that $\chi(\emptyset) = 0$)

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}) \pm 2,$$

and that the class $[S]$ in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is τ_X-anti-invariant if and only if it is τ_Y-invariant (in which case we have $\chi(\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}) + 2$).

Using a real version of the symplectic sum formula (we refer for example to [IP04, LR01, EGH00] for complex versions, see also[Li02, Li04] for an analogous formula in the algebraic category), we related in [BP13, BP15] genus 0 Welschinger invariants of two real symplectic 4-manifolds $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ differing by a real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere S (see also [IKS15, Shu14] for related works in the case of del Pezzo surfaces). In general, relations from [BP15] between Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ involve some quantities that depend on some choices additional to the choice of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, and S. These quantities come from the enumeration of J-holomorphic curves in a real deformation of either $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ for which S becomes symplectic, and with J chosen so that S is J-holomorphic. Since S has self-intersection -2, this almost complex structure J is not generic enough to ensure that counting real J-holomorphic curves with Welschinger signs give rise to an invariant. Still, relations from [BP15] have been applied in [BP15, Bru15] to obtain qualitative results and explicit computations of Welschinger invariants in a number of cases (see also the related works [IKS15, Shu14] in the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 2).

There are nevertheless particular situations where relations from [BP15] simplify so that only enumerative invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ remain. Recall (see Section 3.2) that the definition of genus 0 Welschinger invariants requires the choice of a connected component L of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$, and of a class $F \in H_2^{\tau_X}(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. In the case when L is disjoint from the real Lagrangian sphere S, and F is normal to $[L]$, then [BP15, Theorem 7(1)] eventually only involves genus 0 Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. Although this is an obvious consequence of the results exposed in [BP15], this remark is not explicitly made there.

The aim of the present paper is to make explicit and to provide several applications of these relations among Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore we prove in Theorem 3.7 a mild generalization of [BP15, Theorem 7] to the case of Welschinger invariants in positive genus introduced in [Shu14]. We refer to Section 3 for the definition of the Welschinger invariants considered in this paper. As a by-product, we obtain the existence of some new relative Welschinger invariants, see Theorem 3.6. Note that very few relative invariants are known in real enumerative geometry so far, see for example [Wel06, Wel07, Shu15]. If U is a collection of disjoint real embedded symplectic spheres with self-intersection -2 and $\mathbb{R}U \cap L = \emptyset$, the existence of absolute Welschinger invariants and Theorem 3.7 immediately imply the existence of real invariants relative to U where only simple and non-fixed incidences with U are prescribed.

We now state some consequences of the results from Section 3.4. The definition of genus g Welschinger invariants requires the choice of a set L of $g+1$ connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$, see Section 3.2. In particular, the notation $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s)$ contains the information about the genus of the curves under enumeration: it is the number of connected components of L minus 1 (see Section 3.2). Next theorem provides surprisingly very simple relations among Welschinger invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds differing by a special kind of real surgery.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a compact real symplectic manifold of dimension 4, and let S be a real Lagrangian sphere in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, realizing a τ_X-anti-invariant class in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along S.*

Let also L be a subset of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is disjoint from S. Then for any class $F \in H_2^{\tau_Y}(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ that is normal to $[S]$, and any class $d \in H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s) = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s) + 2\sum_{k\geq 1} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d-k[S],s)$$

whenever the invariant $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s)$ is defined.

The fact that F is normal to $[S]$ in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ensures that all invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d-k[S],s)$ are also defined as soon as $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s)$ is defined (which is always the case if $g=0$), see Lemma 2.7.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.6, we have $[S] \cdot d = 0$, hence Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 give

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d,s) = \sum_{k,l\geq 0} (-1)^l \ 2^k \ \left(\binom{k+l}{k} + \binom{k+l-1}{k} \right) \ W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d-(k+2l)[S],s).$$

The total coefficient of the invariant $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d-i[S],s)$ appearing in this sum is equal to 1 if $i=0$, and is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k+2l=i} (-1)^l \ 2^k \ \left(\binom{k+l}{k} + \binom{k+l-1}{k} \right) &= \sum_{k+2l=i} (-1)^l \ 2^k \ \binom{k+l}{k} - \sum_{k+2l=i-2} (-1)^l \ 2^k \ \binom{k+l}{k} \\ &= u_i - u_{i-2}\end{aligned}$$

otherwise, where

$$u_i = \sum_{k+2l=i} (-1)^l \ 2^k \ \binom{k+l}{k}.$$

By Pascal's rule, we have

$$u_{i+2} = 2u_{i+1} - u_i,$$

hence we deduce from the values $u_0 = 1$ and $u_1 = 2$ that

$$u_i = i+1 \qquad \forall i \geq 0,$$

and the theorem is proved. ☺

Remark 1.2. Although the formula from Theorem 1.1 is very simple, our proof goes by tedious computations involving binomial coefficients. There might exists a simpler and more transparent (geometrical) proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. Several formulas involving Welschinger invariants, especially those based on degeneration formulas like symplectic sum formulas, are real counterparts of analogous formulas relating Gromov-Witten invariants, see for example [Mik05, IKS09, IKS15, BM07, BM08, BP13, BP15, Bru15, BG15]. This led Göttsche to conjecture the existence of *quantum enumerative invariants* that would in particular contain both Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants as suitable specializations, see for example [GS14, BG14, IM13, Mik15]. Theorem 1.1 might have an interpretation in this perspective.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that positivity and asymptotic results concerning Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ imply analogous results for $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, S, L, and F be as in Theorem 1.1. If $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}(d,0) \geq 0$ for any $d \in H_2^{-\tau_X}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, then $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}(d,0) \geq 0$ for any $d \in H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

If furthermore for a given $d \in H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the sequence $(W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}(nd,0))_{n\geq 1}$ is logarithmically asymptotic to the sequence of the corresponding Gromov-Witten invariants of (X,ω_X), then so is the sequence $(W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}(nd,0))_{n\geq 1}$.

From now on, we restrict ourselves to the study of real symplectic manifolds that are deformation equivalent to a real algebraic rational surface. We refer for example to [Sil89, Kol97, DK00, DK02] for an account on real algebraic rational surfaces. Their classification up to deformation has been established in [DK02]. In particular, any two real algebraic rational surfaces with a non-empty real part are deformation equivalent if and only if their are deformation equivalent as complex algebraic surfaces and if their real part are homeomorphic. Furthermore, it follows from this classification and Example 2.3 that the real surgery of a real algebraic rational surfaces along a real Lagrangian sphere contained in $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ remains deformation equivalent to a real algebraic rational surface. Recall also that it follows from [BP15, Corollary 8 and Section 3] that genus 0 Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[R]}$

of a real rational algebraic surface $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $R \subset \mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$ determine all genus 0 Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Next result is a second corollary of Theorem 1.1. By the blow-up of a real algebraic rational surface $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, we mean the blow-up of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ at a finite number of real points and pairs of complex conjugated points, equipped with the real structure that turns the blowing down map into a real map.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real algebraic rational surface, and L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$ is a disjoint union of spheres. Then all Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}$ can be expressed in terms of Welschinger invariants of either a blow-up of the real projective plane or a blow-up of a real quadric in $\mathbb{C}P^3$.*

Proof. As mentioned above, we may suppose that $F = [R]$ where R is a subset of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$. Since a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$ is a real Lagrangian sphere, it is normal to $[R]$ in $H_2(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Hence by applying Theorem 1.1 to $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[R]}(d,s)$ and by successive real surgeries along all spheres in $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$, we are reduced to the case when $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected. It follows from [DK02, Main Theorem] that a real algebraic rational surface with a connected real part is deformation equivalent to either a blown-up real projective plane or a blown-up real quadric in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, so the statement is proved. ☺

Genus 0 Welschinger invariants of any blow-up of the real projective plane, and of any blow-up of a real quadric in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ are computed in [HS12]. Hence combining Corollary 1.5 and [HS12], one can compute any genus 0 Welschinger invariant $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}$ as soon as $X_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus L$ is a disjoint union of spheres. For example, genus 0 Welschinger invariants of minimal real conic bundles can be deduced from Welschinger invariants of a quadric ellipsoid in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ blown-up at several pairs of complex conjugated points.

Analogously, one can reduce the computation of genus 0 Welschinger invariants of del Pezzo surfaces to the case when the real part of the surface has at most two connected components. These latter cases have been covered in [Bru15].

Corollary 1.6. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ a real algebraic del Pezzo surface, and L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then all Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}$ can be expressed in terms of genus 0 Welschinger invariants of a real algebraic del Pezzo surface of the same degree with a real part consisting of at most two connected components.*

Proof. It follows from the classification of real algebraic del Pezzo surfaces that if $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ has three or more connected components, then at most one of them is not homeomorphic to a sphere. So the proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 1.5. ☺

We provide in Section 4 concrete illustrations of the above statements with some explicit computations of genus 0 Welschinger invariants of real cubic surfaces, minimal real conic bundles, and real del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1.

The existence of another kind of Welschinger invariants relative to an embedded symplectic sphere E is also immediatly implied by [BP15, Theorem 7(2)] in the case when $[E]^2 = -2$ and $\mathbb{R}E \neq \emptyset$. For these invariants, the component L may have $\mathbb{R}E$ as boundary. We generalize this observation in Theorem 3.6 to any finite collection of disjoint embedded real symplectic spheres of self-intersection -2. As in the case of the aforementioned first kind of relative Welschinger invariants, their invariance directly follows from Theorem 3.10, whose recursive application eventually expresses these relative invariants in terms of absolute Welschinger invariants. As an application of this second kind of relative Welschinger invariants, we generalize Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6 as follows.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real algebraic rational surface with a disconnected real part, and let L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then all absolute Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}$ can be expressed*

in terms of the relative Welschinger invariants of a blow up $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the minimal real conic bundle with 2 spheres as real components.

Furthermore, all absolute Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}$ and $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}$ can be respectively expressed in terms of the absolute Welschinger invariants $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,0}$ and $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}$.

Proof. As above, it is enough to consider the case when F is realized by a subset R of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L$. It follows from the classification of real algebraic rational surfaces that $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be degenerated to a real algebraic rational nodal surface $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that L is contained in the non-singular locus of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$, and such that $\mathbb{R}Z_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L$ is connected. The non-singular real algebraic rational surface $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ obtained by blowing up all nodes of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ has a real part consisting of exactly two connected components. Hence it follows from the classification of real algebraic rational surfaces that $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is, up to deformation, a blow up of the minimal real conic bundle with 2 spheres as real components. Thanks to Theorems 1.1 and 3.10 and Example 2.3, all Welschinger invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[R]}$ can be expressed in terms of Welschinger invariants of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ relative to the vanishing cycles of the degeneration of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$, so the result is proved. ☺

Remark 1.8. Theorem 1.7 and its proof can of course be generalized to Welschinger invariants of higher genus. We decided nevertheless to restrict to the genus 0 case since it makes the statement much shorter.

Another but related treatment of Lagrangian spheres contained in $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ has previously been proposed by Welschinger in [Wel07]. Among other results, he proved there that all invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},S^2,F}$ can be expressed in terms of some relative Gromov-Witten invariants of the symplectic manifold (X,ω_X), and some relative real invariants of T^*S^2.

Several real enumerative invariants of higher dimensional symplectic manifolds have recently been defined, i.e. [Wel05c, Wel05a, Geo13, GZ15]. It could be interesting to generalize the methods of the present paper to the study of these invariants.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe in detail real surgeries of a real symplectic 4-manifold along real Lagrangian spheres, and give several examples of such surgeries. Absolute and relative Welschinger invariants considered in this paper are defined in Section 3. We prove there Theorems 3.7 and 3.10 that relate such invariants for two real symplectic 4-manifolds differing by a real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere. Finally, we illustrate in Section 4 the use of Theorem 1.1 with concrete computations in the case of real cubic surfaces, minimal real conic bundles, and real del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1.

Acknowledgment: I am grateful to Benoît B. Bertrand and Nicolas Puignau for their valuable comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

2. Real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere

2.1. **Real structures on the cotangent bundle of a sphere.** We denote by ω_0 the canonical symplectic form on T^*S^2. Recall that (T^*S^2,ω_0) is symplectomorphic to the complement $(Q\setminus E,\omega_0)$ of a smooth hyperplane section E of a smooth quadric (Q,ω_0) in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. In particular, the classification of real quadrics in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ give four different real structures on (T^*S^2,ω_0), see Figure 2:

- $\tau_{S^1,0}$: $\mathbb{R}E\neq\emptyset$, and $\mathbb{R}T^*S^2$ is a one-sheeted hyperboloid;
- $\tau_{S^1,2}$: $\mathbb{R}E\neq\emptyset$, and $\mathbb{R}T^*S^2$ is a two-sheeted hyperboloid;
- $\tau_{\emptyset,0}$: $\mathbb{R}E=\emptyset$, and $\mathbb{R}T^*S^2=\emptyset$;
- $\tau_{\emptyset,2}$: $\mathbb{R}E=\emptyset$, and $\mathbb{R}T^*S^2=S^2$ is an ellipsoid.

For each real structure $\tau_{A,a}$ we have

$$\mathbb{R}E=A \qquad \text{and} \qquad \chi(\mathbb{R}T^*S^2)=a.$$



a) $\tau_{S^1,0}$ b) $\tau_{S^1,2}$ c) $\tau_{\emptyset,0}$ d) $\tau_{\emptyset,2}$

FIGURE 2. Real structures on $\mathbb{R}T^*S^2$

Both real quadrics $(Q, \omega_0, \tau_{S^1,2})$ and $(Q, \omega_0, \tau_{\emptyset,2})$ are quadric ellipsoids in $\mathbb{R}P^3$, and so are isomorphic real symplectic manifolds.

Recall that a non-singular complex algebraic quadric surface Q in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. In particular the group $H_2(Q;\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and generated by the classes $l_1 = [\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \{p\}]$ and $l_2 = [\{p\} \times \mathbb{C}P^1]$. Clearly, these two classes are well defined in $H_2(Q;\mathbb{Z})$ only up to interchanging l_1 and l_2. The hyperplane section E of Q realizes the class $l_1 + l_2$, and S^2 endowed with some orientation realizes the class $\pm(l_1 - l_2)$.

2.2. **Real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere.** Recall that a real Lagrangian sphere S of a real symplectic 4-manifold $X_\mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrangian sphere globally invariant under τ_X. By stretching the neck of a τ_X-compatible almost complex structure in a neighborhood of S, one decomposes $X_\mathbb{R}$ into the union of $(X \setminus S, \omega_X, \tau_X)$ and $(T^*S, \omega_0, \tau_{A,a})$, see [EGH00, Wel07]. This operation can be thought as a degeneration of $X_\mathbb{R}$ to a real nodal symplectic manifold for which $[S]$ is precisely the vanishing cycle, see Example 2.3 below.

It turns out that the union of $(X \setminus S, \omega_X, \tau_X)$ and $(T^*S, \omega_0, \tau_{A,2-a})$ can also be obtained by stretching the neck of a τ_Y-compatible almost complex structure in a neighborhood of a real Lagrangian sphere S' in a real symplectic 4-manifold $Y_\mathbb{R} = (Y, \omega_Y, \tau_Y)$. The real manifold $Y_\mathbb{R}$ can be obtained by removing from X a real tubular neighborhood of S and gluing back a real neighborhood of the zero section of $(T^*S^2, \omega_0, \tau_{A,2-a})$. Phrased differently, the real manifold $Y_\mathbb{R}$ is obtained from $X_\mathbb{R}$ by changing the real structure on $X_\mathbb{R}$ in a neighborhood of S. In particular, one can choose $Y = X$ as smooth manifolds, and $S' = S$. We will always use this convention in the text.

Definition 2.1. *The two real symplectic manifolds $X_\mathbb{R}$ and $Y_\mathbb{R}$ are said to be obtained one from the other by a* real surgery along the real Lagrangian sphere S.

The notation $Y_\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{S} X_\mathbb{R}$ means that $X_\mathbb{R}$ and $Y_\mathbb{R}$ are related by a real surgery along the real Lagrangian sphere S, and that $\chi(\mathbb{R}Y) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X) + 2$. In this case, the real part $\mathbb{R}Y$ is obtained from $\mathbb{R}X$ by one of the following topological operations:

- if $A = S^1$: cut $\mathbb{R}X$ along $\mathbb{R}S = S^1$ and glue a disk to each boundary circle (from Figure 2a to Figure 2b);

- if $A = \emptyset$: the sphere S which contains no real point of $\mathbb{R}X$ becomes a connected component of $\mathbb{R}Y$ (from Figure 2c to Figure 2d).

Example 2.2. A real quadric hyperboloid in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is obtained from a real quadric ellipsoid by a real surgery along a real Lagrangian sphere intersecting the real part in two points (see Figures 2a and 2b). A real empty hyperboloid in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is obtained from a real quadric ellipsoid by a real surgery along the real part (see Figures 2c and 2d).

Example 2.3. More generally, let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a small disk endowed with its standard real structure, and let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to D$ be a flat real morphism from a non-singular real algebraic manifold of complex dimension 3. Suppose that the fiber $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is a non-singular projective algebraic surface when $t \neq 0$, and is a real algebraic surface with a single non-degenerate double point p as only singularity when $t = 0$. Suppose in addition that $\mathcal{X}$ is locally given at p by the equation

$$x^2 + y^2 \pm z^2 = t \qquad (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^4,$$

and that π is locally given by $\pi(x, y, z, t) = t$. There exists a real Lagrangian sphere S whose class in $H_2(X_t; \mathbb{Z})$ realizes the vanishing cycle of the degeneration of X_t to X_0, and X_t and X_{-t} are obtained one from the other by a real surgery along S when $t \in \mathbb{R}D \setminus \{0\}$.

Example 2.4. Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a non-singular real cubic surface in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ with a real part consisting of the disjoint union of a projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and a sphere S. It is well known that the underlying complex algebraic surface is the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up at 6 points in general position (see for example [Dol12]). Note however that since $\mathbb{R}X$ has two connected components, the real surface $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not rational over $\mathbb{R}$, and is not obtained as a blow-up of the real projective plane.

By Example 2.3, the real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along S is a real algebraic cubic surface whose real part is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^2$, and so is the blow-up of the real projective plane at three pairs of complex conjugated points (see [Kol97, DK02]).

A real surgery can also be described in terms of symplectic sum, point of view that we will use in our enumerative applications. We provide more details about the symplectic sum construction in Section 3.5.1. Given a real Lagrangian sphere S in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, one can represent $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ up to deformation as the real symplectic sum of two real symplectic 4-manifolds $Z_{\mathbb{R}} = (Z, \omega_Z, \tau_Z)$ and $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_0, \tau_{A,a})$ along an embedded symplectic sphere E of self-intersection -2 in Z (hence of self-intersection 2 in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$) where:

- E is real and realizes the class $l_1 + l_2$ in $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{Z})$;
- S is the deformation of a real Lagrangian sphere in $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_0, \tau_{A,a})$ disjoint from E.

The real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along S is obtained as the real symplectic sum of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_0, \tau_{A,2-a})$. Note that if $a = 0$, then $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ are deformation equivalent and $[E] = [S]$ in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. By extension, we will also say that $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained from $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ by a real surgery along E.

Example 2.5. Suppose that we have a projective flat real family $\mathcal{X}$ as in Example 2.3, and let us perform respectively the base changes $t \to t^2$ and $t \to -t^2$. Then the blow up at the node of the two obtained families realize the two symplectic sums described above. The real algebraic surface $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is simply the blow-up of the singular surface X_0 at the node.

Note that the complex algebraic surface X_t is a deformation of the complex algebraic surface $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ for any $t \in D \setminus \{0\}$. However when $t \in \mathbb{R}D \setminus \{0\}$, the real surface X_t is a real deformation of $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if $\chi(\mathbb{R}X_t) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X_{-t}) - 2$.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{S} X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the class $[S]$ is in $H_2^{-\tau_X}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and in $H_2^{\tau_Y}(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore we have*

$$H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \subset [S]^{\perp} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_2^{-\tau_X}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[S].$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that the analogous statement holds in T^*S. Let $\gamma \in H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Z})$. Since $[S]^2 = -2$, the sphere S realizes a non-trivial class in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Q})$ and we have

$$\gamma = \gamma_\perp + q[S] \quad \text{in } H_2(X;\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{with } \gamma_\perp \in [S]^\perp \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since $\tau_{Y*}(\gamma) = -\gamma$, we have

$$2q = -q[S]\cdot[S] = -\gamma\cdot[S] = \tau_{Y*}(\gamma)\cdot[S] = q[S]\cdot[S] = -2q,$$

from which we deduce that $q = 0$, that is to say $\gamma \in [S]^\perp$. Since the restrictions of τ_{X*} and τ_{Y*} coincide on $[S]^\perp$, we obtain that $H_2^{-\tau_X}(X;\mathbb{Q}) = H_2^{-\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[S]$. ☺

Since the first Chern class of X is τ_X-anti-invariant for any real structure τ_X on (X,ω_X), we have in particular

$$c_1(X)\cdot[S] = 0.$$

(this also follows from the adjunction formula.)

Considering homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the previous lemma can be weakened as follows.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $Y_\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{S} X_\mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$H_2^{\tau_X}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cap [S]^\perp = H_2^{\tau_Y}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cap [S]^\perp.$$

3. Welschinger invariants

3.1. **Preliminaries.** We start by proving a simple adaptation of [BP15, Lemma 11 and Proposition 13] that we will use at several places in the rest of this section.

Let (X,ω_X) be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 4, containing a finite union $W = E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_\kappa$ of pairwise disjoint embedded symplectic spheres with $[E_i]^2 = -2$. Given $d \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let us choose a configuration $\underline{x}$ of $c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1$ distinct points in $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} E_i$. Given an almost complex structure J on X tamed by ω_X for which all curves $E_1,\ldots,E_\kappa$ are J-holomorphic, we define $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{C}(d,\underline{x},W,J)$ as the set of irreducible J-holomorphic curves $f : C \to X$ of genus g, with $f_*[C] = d$, passing through all points in $\underline{x}$, and whose image is not contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} E_i$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $c_1(X)\cdot d > 0$ if $g = 1$. Then for a generic choice of J among almost complex structure J tamed by ω_X such that all symplectic curves $E_1,\ldots,E_\kappa$ are J-holomorphic, the set $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{C}(d,\underline{x},W,J)$ is finite and composed of simple maps that are all immersions.*

Proof. The proof consists in two steps: first we prove that no element of $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{C}(d,\underline{x},W,J)$ factors through a non-trivial ramified covering, from which we deduce the finiteness of $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{C}(d,\underline{x},W,J)$.

Step 1. Let $f : C \to X$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{C}(d,\underline{x},W,J)$ that factors through a ramified covering of degree $\delta \geq 2$ of a simple map $f_0 : C_0 \to X$. Denoting by g_0 the genus of C_0, we obtain by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula that

$$g \geq \delta g_0 + 1 - \delta. \tag{1}$$

The map f_0 is constrained by $c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1$ points, hence if $d_0 \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the class $f_{0*}[C_0]$ we obtain

$$c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g_0 - 1 \geq \delta c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g - 1,$$

and so

$$(\delta - 1)c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g - g_0 \leq 0.$$

Combining this with (1), we obtain

$$(\delta - 1)(c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g_0 - 1) \leq 0,$$

and so

$$c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g_0 - 1 \leq 0.$$

Since J is generic and that f is J-holomorphic, we also have the opposite inequality, which alltogether gives

$$c_1(X)\cdot d_0 + g_0 - 1 = 0. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, all inequalities above are in fact equalities. In particular, the covering $C \to C_0$ through which f factors is non-ramified, which is possible only if $g = g_0 = 1$. In this case (2) gives $c_1(X)\cdot d = c_1(X)\cdot d_0 = 0$ which is excluded by assumption.

Step 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}, W, J)$ contains infinitely many simple maps. By Gromov compactness Theorem, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of distinct simple maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}, W, J)$ which converges to some J-holomorphic map $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to X$. By genericity of J, the set of simple maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}, W, J)$ is discrete. Hence either $\overline{C}$ is reducible, or $\overline{f}$ is non-simple. Let $\overline{C}_1, \ldots, \overline{C}_m, \overline{C}'_1, \ldots, \overline{C}'_{m'}$ be the irreducible components of $\overline{C}$, labeled in such a way that

- $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \not\subset W$;
- $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_i) \subset W$, and $\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}'_i}$ factors through a ramified covering of degree k_i.

Define $k = \sum_{i=1}^{m'} k_i$, and denote by g_i the genus of C_i. The restriction of $\overline{f}$ to $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \overline{C}_i$ is subject to $c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1$ points conditions, so we have

$$c_1(X)\cdot d_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i - m \geq c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1, \tag{3}$$

where d_1 is the class realized by the image of this restriction. Since an irreducible component E of W is an embedded sphere with self-intersection -2, the adjunction formula implies that $c_1(X)\cdot[E] = 0$. Hence we get $c_1(X)\cdot d_1 = c_1(X)\cdot d$. Combining with (3) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i - g + 1 - m \geq 0.$$

If $m = 0$, then the image of $\overline{f}$ must be contained in a curve in W, implying that $c_1(X)\cdot d = 0$. Since furthermore in this case we would have $c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1 = 0$, we deduce that $g = 1$ contrary to our assumptions. Hence $m \geq 1$, and since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i \leq g$, we deduce that $m = 1$ and $g_1 = g$. In particular, each irreducible component $\overline{C}'_i$ of $\overline{C}$ is rational and intersects $\overline{C}_1$ in a single point.

If $k = m' = 0$, then the curve $\overline{C}$ is irreducible. Hence as explained above, the map $\overline{f}$ has to factorize through a non-trivial ramified covering of a simple map $f_0 : C_0 \to X$, which contradicts Step 1. Hence we have $k > 0$. By genericity of J, the curve $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_1)$ is fixed by the $c_1(X)\cdot d + g - 1$ point constraints in X and so intersects the curve W transversely. Any intersection point of $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_1 \setminus (\overline{C}'_1 \cup \ldots \cup \overline{C}'_{m'}))$ and W deforms to an intersection point of the image of f_n and W for $n >> 1$. Since $d_1\cdot[W] = d\cdot[W] + 2k$ and $m' \leq k$, at least $d\cdot[W] + k$ intersection points of $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_1)$ and W deform to an intersection point of the image of f_n and W for $n >> 1$. But this contradicts the fact that two J-holomorphic curves intersect positively. ☺

3.2. **Absolute Welschinger invariants.** Recall that if C is a compact non-singular real algebraic curve of genus g, then the set $\mathbb{R}C$ has at most $g + 1$ connected components by the Harnack-Klein inequality. The real curve C is called *maximal* when equality holds. In this case, the set $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$ has two connected components.

The following lemma is probably well-known to the experts, however we did not find a reference for its proof in the literature.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real symplectic 4-manifold with $b_1(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})=0$, and let L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X$. Then any element γ of $H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is realized by the real part of a τ_X-invariant 2-cycle Γ_γ in X.*

In particular, given C a real symplectic curve and $f: C \to X$ a real symplectic immersion, the class realized by $f(\mathbb{R}C)\cap L$ in $H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ only depends on $f_*[C]\in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

We denote this class by $l_{L,f_*[C]}$. Note that the class $[\Gamma_\gamma]\in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ might not be uniquely determined by γ.

Proof. Let us fix $\gamma\in H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. It follows from the long exact sequence for pairs that the natural map $H_2(X,L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\to H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Hence there exists a 2-chain Γ^0_γ in X with boundary γ, and the 2-cycle Γ_γ is obtained by taking the sum $\Gamma^0_\gamma+\tau_X(\Gamma^0_\gamma)$.

Let C be a real symplectic curve and $f: C\to X$ a real symplectic immersion. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(C)$ and Γ_γ intersect transversely in finitely many points. Since the number of non-real intersection points of $f(C)$ and Γ_γ is even, the modulo 2 intersection numbers $[f(\mathbb{R}C)\cap L]\cdot\gamma$ and $f_*[C]\cdot\Gamma_\gamma$ are equal. Hence if $f: C'\to X$ is another a real symplectic embedding with $f_*[C']=f_*[C]$ in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we have $[f(\mathbb{R}C)\cap L]\cdot\gamma=[f(\mathbb{R}C')\cap L]\cdot\gamma$ for any $\gamma\in H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$. Since the intersection form on $H_1(L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is non-degenerate, the result follows. ☺

For the rest of this section we fix once for all an integer $g\geq 0$, and $X_{\mathbb{R}}=(X,\omega_X,\tau_X)$ a real compact symplectic manifold of dimension 4. If $g>0$, we furthermore assume that $b_1(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})=0$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains $g+1$ connected components denoted by $L_1,\dots,L_{g+1}$, and define $L=\bigcup_{i=1}^{g+1}L_i$. Note that $\mathbb{R}X$ might contain other connected components.

We say that an almost complex structure J tamed by ω_X is τ_X-compatible if τ_X is J-antiholomorphic, i.e. $J\circ d\tau_X=-d\tau_X\circ J$. Recall that there exists a well defined pairing

$$H_2(X,L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\times H_2(X\setminus L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\to\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

given by the intersection product modulo 2. Let C be a maximal real algebraic curve, and $f: C\to X$ be a real J-holomorphic immersion such that $f(\mathbb{R}C)\subset L$, for some τ_X-compatible almost complex structure J on X. Denoting by C^+ one of the half of $C\setminus\mathbb{R}C$, and given $F\in H_2^{\tau_X}(X\setminus L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, we define the (L,F)-mass of f as

$$m_{L,F}(f)=m(f)+[f(C^+)]\cdot F,$$

where $m(f)$ is the number of elliptic real nodes of $f(C)$ (i.e. real nodes with two τ_X-conjugated branches) contained in L. Note that $m_{L,F}(f)$ does not depend on the chosen half of $C\setminus\mathbb{R}C$.

Example 3.3. If $F=[\mathbb{R}X\setminus L]$, then $m_{L,F}(f)$ is the total number of elliptic real nodes of $f(C)$.

Choose a class $d\in H_2^{-\tau_X}(X;\mathbb{Z})$, and $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$c_1(X)\cdot d+g-1=r+2s.$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x}$ made of r points in L and s pairs of τ_X-conjugated points in $X\setminus\mathbb{R}X$ such that

$$\text{either}\quad g=0\quad\text{or}\quad |L_i\cap\underline{x}|=l^2_{L_i,d}+1\mod 2\quad\forall i\in\{1,\dots,g+1\}. \tag{4}$$

Given a τ_X-compatible almost complex structure J, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(d,\underline{x},L,J)$ the set of real J-holomorphic curves $f: C\to X$ of genus g in X, with $f_*[C]=d$, passing through $\underline{x}$, and such that

$f(\mathbb{R}C) \subset L$. It follows from (4) that given $f : C \to X \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, J)$, each component L_i contains a connected component of $f(\mathbb{R}C)$, and so C is a maximal real curve. Furthermore according to Lemma 3.1, if $c_1(X) \cdot d > 0$ when $g = 1$, then the set $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, J)$ is finite and composed of immersions for a generic choice of J.

Theorem 3.4 ([Wel05b, Wel11, IKS14, Shu14])**.** *Let $F \in H_2^{\tau_X}(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and suppose that $c_1(X) \cdot d \neq 0, 2$ if $g = 1$. Then the integer*

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,F}(d, s) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(d,\underline{x},L,J)} (-1)^{m_{L,F}(C)}$$

depends neither on $\underline{x}$, J, nor on the deformation class of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We call these numbers the *Welschinger invariants of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$*. These invariants were first defined by Welschinger in [Wel05b] in the case when $g = 0$ and $F = [\mathbb{R}X \setminus L]$. This definition has been extended by Itenberg, Kharlamov and Shustin to any F in [IKS14], and by Shustin to any g in [Shu14] for real algebraic del Pezzo surfaces. Thanks to Lemma 3.1, one can adapt in the obvious way the proof of [Shu14] in the strategy proposed in [Wel05b] (including the correction from [Wel11] concerning the appearance of embedded J-holomorphic spheres with self-intersection -2) in order to get Theorem 3.4: the above assumptions prevent the appearance of non-trivial real ramified coverings, as well as of real immersions that should be counted with multiplicity two or more, along a generic path of τ_X-compatible almost complex structures. Note that if $g = 1$ and $c_1(X) \cdot d = 2$, some degree 2 covering might appear along such a path.

3.3. **Relative invariants.** As above, we choose $d \in H_2^{-\tau_X}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$c_1(X) \cdot d + g - 1 = r + 2s.$$

Let also $U = \{E_1, \dots E_\kappa\}$ and $V = \{E'_1, \dots E'_\lambda\}$ be two finite (maybe empty) sets of real embedded symplectic spheres in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$ and $u, v \in \{1, \dots, \lambda\}$, we have:

- $[E_i]^2 = [E'_u]^2 = -2$,
- $E_i \cap E_j = E'_u \cap E'_v = E_i \cap E'_u = \emptyset$,
- $\mathbb{R}E'_i \neq \emptyset$,
- $d \cdot [E'_u] = 0$,
- $\sum_{u=1}^{\lambda} [\mathbb{R}E'_u] = 0 \in H_1(\mathbb{R}X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $L_1, \dots, L_{g+1}$ be the topological closure of $g + 1$ connected components of $\mathbb{R}X \setminus \bigcup_{u=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{R}E'_u$ such that

$$L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{g+1} L_i\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \mathbb{R}E_i\right) = \emptyset.$$

Denote $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{g+1} L_i$. Let also L_0 be the topological closure of the union of some connected components of $\mathbb{R}X \setminus \bigcup_{u=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{R}E'_u$ such that

$$L_0 \cap L = \emptyset \qquad \text{and} \qquad \partial(L_0 \cup L) = \bigcup_{u=1}^{\lambda} \mathbb{R}E'_u.$$

In particular, the last condition implies that each circle $\mathbb{R}E'_v$ is contained in the boundary of a connected component of $L_0 \cup L$ and of a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X \setminus (L_0 \cup L)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a real symplectic curve and $f : C \to X$ a real symplectic immersion. Then the class realized by $f(\mathbb{R}C) \cap L_i$ in $H_1(L_i, \partial L_i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ only depends on L_i and $f_*[C] \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.*

We denote this class by $l_{L_i, f_*[C]}$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{L}_i$ be the connected component containing L_i of the real part of the successive real surgeries of $X_\mathbb{R}$ along the curves $E'_1, \dots, E'_\lambda$. Since $\widehat{L}_i$ is topologically obtained by gluing a disk along each connected component of ∂L_i, we have $H_1(L_i, \partial L_i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\widehat{L}_i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 3.2. ☺

Choose a configuration $\underline{x}$ made of r points in L and s pairs of τ_X-conjugated points in $X \setminus \mathbb{R}X$ such that

$$\text{either} \quad g = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad |L_i \cap \underline{x}| = l_{L_i,d}^2 + 1 \mod 2 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, g+1\}. \tag{5}$$

Given a τ_X-compatible almost complex structure J such that all symplectic curves in $U \cup V$ are J-holomorphic, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, U, V, J)$ the set of real J-holomorphic curves $f : C \to X$ in X of genus g, with $f_*[C] = d$, whose image is not contained in $U \cup V$, passing through $\underline{x}$, and such that $f(\mathbb{R}C) \subset L$. Again, given $f : C \to X \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, U, V, J)$, condition (5) forces each component L_i to contain a connected component of $f(\mathbb{R}C)$, and so C is a maximal real curve. Furthermore according to Lemma 3.1, if $c_1(X) \cdot d > 0$ in the case $g = 1$, then the set $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, U, V, J)$ is finite and composed of simple immersions for a generic choice of J.

Let $f : C \to X$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, U, V, J)$, and choose C^+ one of the half of $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$. As in the case of absolute invariants, given $F \in H_2^{\tau_X}(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ we define the $(L \cup L_0, F)$-mass of f as

$$m_{L \cup L_0, F}(f) = m(f) + [f(C^+)] \cdot F,$$

where $m(f)$ is the number of real elliptic nodes of $f(C)$ in $L \cup L_0$. Again, $m_{L \cup L_0, F}(f)$ does not depend on the chosen half of $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$.

Next theorem is a consequence of Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 3.10 that we prove in next sections.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $F \in H_2^{\tau_X}(X \setminus L; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ orthogonal in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to all classes realized by the curves in V. Then the integer*

$$W^{U,V}_{X_\mathbb{R}, L, L_0, F}(d, s) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(d, \underline{x}, L, U, V, J)} (-1)^{m_{L \cup L_0, F}(C)}$$

depends neither on $\underline{x}$, J, nor on the deformation class of the 5-tuple $(X_\mathbb{R}, L, L_0, U, V)$.

Proof. By induction, it follows from Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 3.10 that the numbers $W^{U,V}_{X_\mathbb{R}, L, L_0, F}(d, s)$ can be expressed in terms of the numbers $W^{\emptyset, \emptyset}_{X_\mathbb{R}, \widehat{L}, \widehat{L}_0, F}(d, s)$. By definition we have

$$W^{\emptyset, \emptyset}_{X_\mathbb{R}, \widehat{L}, \widehat{L}_0, F}(d, s) = W_{X_\mathbb{R}, \widehat{L}, F + [\widehat{L}_0]}(d, s),$$

so Theorem 3.6 now follows from Theorem 3.4. ☺

3.4. **Real surgery and enumerative geometry.** We keep using notations and choices introduced in Section 3.3.

3.4.1. Suppose that $U \neq \emptyset$, and let $E \in U$. We denote by $\widehat{U} = U \setminus E$, and by $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along E. If $\mathbb{R}E \neq \emptyset$, recall that $\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained topologically by cutting $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along $\mathbb{R}E$ and gluing back a disk along each boundary circle. If $\mathbb{R}E \cap L_0 \neq \emptyset$, then we denote by $\widehat{L}_0$ the union of L_0 with the two glued disks. Otherwise we set $\widehat{L}_0 = L_0$. Next theorem is proved in Section 3.5.

Theorem 3.7. *We have*

$$W^{\widehat{U},V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{\frac{1}{2}d\cdot[E]+2k}{k}\, W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2k[E],s). \tag{6}$$

If furthermore $d\cdot[E]=0$, and $F \in [E]^{\perp}$ in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$W^{\widehat{U},V}_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,\widehat{L}_0,F}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^k\, W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-k[E],s).$$

According to Lemma 2.7, we have $F \in H_2^{\tau_Y}(X\setminus L;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ if $F \in [E]^{\perp}$ in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. In particular the number $W^{\widehat{U},V}_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,\widehat{L}_0,F}(d,s)$ in Theorem 3.7 is well defined.

In next corollary, we use the convention that

$$\binom{-1}{0} = 0.$$

Corollary 3.8. *The number $W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d,s)$ can be expressed in terms of the numbers $W^{\widehat{U},V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2k,s)$ with $k\geq 0$. More precisely, we have*

$$W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k \left(\binom{\frac{1}{2}d\cdot[E]+k}{\frac{1}{2}d\cdot[E]} + \binom{\frac{1}{2}d\cdot[E]+k-1}{\frac{1}{2}d\cdot[E]}\right) W^{\widehat{U},V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2k[E],s).$$

Proof. Relation (6) expresses the numbers $W^{\widehat{U},V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2k[E],s)$ in terms of the numbers $W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2(k+l)[E],s)$, $k,l\geq 0$. Since this is an upper triangular linear system with coefficients 1 on the diagonal, it is invertible. The exact expression of $W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d,s)$ in terms of the numbers $W^{\widehat{U},V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d-2k[E],s)$ follows from Proposition 3.9. ☺

Proposition 3.9. *Let $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $K\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and let M be the matrix*

$$M = \left(\binom{m+2(j-1)}{j-i}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq K}.$$

Then the matrix M is invertible and we have

$$M^{-1} = \left((-1)^{i+j}\left(\binom{m+i+j-2}{m+2i-2} + \binom{m+i+j-3}{m+2i-2}\right)\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq K}.$$

Proof. Let $(\nu_{i,j}))_{1\leq i,j\leq K}$ be the product of the two above matrices. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{i,j} &= \sum_{k=1}^{K}(-1)^{k+j}\binom{m+2(k-1)}{k-i}\left(\binom{m+k+j-2}{m+2k-2}+\binom{m+k+j-3}{m+2k-2}\right)\\ &= (-1)^j\sum_{k=i}^{j}(-1)^k\binom{m+2(k-1)}{k-i}\left(\binom{m+k+j-2}{m+2k-2}+\binom{m+k+j-3}{m+2k-2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Defining $m' = m + 2i - 2$ and $I = j - i$, we get

$$\nu_{i,j} = (-1)^I \sum_{k=0}^{I} (-1)^k \binom{m'+2k}{k} \left(\binom{m'+I+k}{m'+2k} + \binom{m'+I+k-1}{m'+2k} \right).$$

Using the identity

$$\binom{u}{v}\binom{v}{w} = \binom{u}{w}\binom{u-w}{v-w}$$

we obtain

$$\nu_{i,j} = (-1)^I \sum_{k=0}^{I} (-1)^k \left(\binom{m'+I+k}{k} \binom{m'+I}{m'+k} + \binom{m'+I+k-1}{k} \binom{m'+I-1}{m'+k} \right).$$

In the case when $I = 0$, this gives $\nu_{i,i} = 1$. In the case when $I > 0$ we have

$$\nu_{i,j} = (-1)^I \sum_{k=0}^{I} (-1)^k \left(\binom{m'+I+k}{m'+I} \binom{m'+I}{m'+k} + \binom{m'+I+k-1}{m'+I-1} \binom{m'+I-1}{m'+k} \right).$$

It follows from [GKP94, Identity 5.25] that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{I} (-1)^k \binom{m'+I+k}{m'+I} \binom{m'+I}{m'+k} = (-1)^I$$
$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{I} (-1)^k \binom{m'+I+k-1}{m'+I-1} \binom{m'+I-1}{m'+k}.$$

Hence $\nu_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and the proposition is proved. ☺

3.4.2. Suppose that $V \neq \emptyset$, and let $E \in V$. We denote by $\widehat{V} = V \setminus E$, and by $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along E. Let L_i be the connected component of $L \cup L_0$ whose boundary contains $\mathbb{R}E$. Recall that $\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained topologically by cutting $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along $\mathbb{R}E$ and gluing back a disk along each boundary circle. We define $\widehat{L}_j = L_j$ for $j \neq i$, and by $\widehat{L}_i$ the union of L_i with the corresponding glued disk. Accordingly, we define

$$\widehat{L} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{g+1} \widehat{L}_j.$$

Next theorem is proved in Section 3.5.

Theorem 3.10. *We have*

$$W^{U,\widehat{V}}_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\widehat{L},\widehat{L}_0,F}(d,s) = W^{U,V}_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,L_0,F}(d,s).$$

Recall that by definition, the class F is orthogonal in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ to all classes realized by the curves in V. Hence the number $W^{U,\widehat{V}}_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\widehat{L},\widehat{L}_0,F}(d,s)$ in Theorem 3.10 is well defined by Lemma 2.7.

3.5. **Proof of Theorems 3.7 and 3.10.**

3.5.1. *Symplectic sums.* Here we describe a very particular case of the symplectic sum formula from [IP04]. Let (Z,ω_Z) be a compact and connected symplectic manifold of dimension 4 containing an embedded symplectic sphere E with $[E]^2=-2$. We furthermore assume the existence of a symplectomorphism ϕ from E to a symplectic curve realizing the class l_1+l_2 in $(\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1,\omega_0)$. By abuse, we still denote by E the image $\phi(E)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$. Since the self-intersection of E in $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ and Z are opposite, there exists a symplectic bundle isomorphism ψ between the normal bundle of E in $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ and the dual of the normal bundle of E in Z. Out of these data, one produces a family of symplectic 4-manifolds $(\mathcal{Z}_t,\omega_t)$ parametrized by a small complex number t in $\mathbb{C}^*$, see [Gom95]. All these manifolds are deformation equivalent, and are called *symplectic sums of* $(\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1,\omega_0)$ *and* (Z,ω_Z) *along* E. This family can be seen as a symplectic deformation of the singular symplectic manifold $X_\sharp=Z\cup_E\left(\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1\right)$ obtained by gluing (Z,ω_Z) and $(\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1,\omega_0)$ along E.

Proposition 3.11 ([IP04, Theorem 2.1])**.** *There exists a symplectic* 6*-manifold* $(\mathcal{Z},\omega_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *and a symplectic fibration* $\pi:\mathcal{Z}\to D$ *over a disk* $D\subset\mathbb{C}$ *such that the central fiber* $\pi^{-1}(0)$ *is the singular symplectic manifold* $X_\sharp$*, and* $\pi^{-1}(t)=(\mathcal{Z}_t,\omega_t)$ *for* $t\neq 0$*.*

Topologically, $\mathcal{Z}_t$ is obtained by removing a tubular neighborhood of E in Z, and gluing back $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1\setminus E$ via ψ. Note that the symplectomorphism ϕ induces a diffeomorphism Ψ from the normal bundle of E in Z and $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1\setminus E$. Hence the homology groups $H_2(Z;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(\mathcal{Z}_t;\mathbb{Z})$ are identified, the class $[E]$ being identified with the class $\Psi_*[E]$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Psi_*[E]=l_1-l_2$ in $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1;\mathbb{Z})$.

In addition to E, suppose that Z contains a collection $W=\{E_1,\dots,E_\kappa\}$ of pairwise disjoint embedded symplectic spheres with $[E_i]^2=-2$ that are all disjoint from E. Let $d\in H_2(\mathcal{Z}_t;\mathbb{Z})$, and choose $\underline{x}(t)$ a set of $c_1(X)\cdot d+g-1$ symplectic sections

$$D\to\mathcal{Z}\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa}E_i$$

such that $\underline{x}(0)\cap\left(E\bigcup_{i=1}^{\kappa}E_i\right)=\emptyset$. Choose an almost complex structure J on $\mathcal{Z}$ tamed by $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$, which restrict to an almost complex structure J_t tamed by ω_t on each fiber $\mathcal{Z}_t$ and for which all curves $E_1,\dots,E_\kappa$ are J_t-holomorphic. We assume that J is generic with respect to all choices we made. Recall that the set $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d,\underline{x}(t),W,J_t)$ for $t\neq 0$ has been defined in Section 3.1. We define $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d,\underline{x}(0),W,J_0)$ to be the set $\left\{\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to X_\sharp\right\}$ of limits, as stable maps, of maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d,\underline{x}(W,t),J_t)$ as t goes to 0. Recall (see [IP04, Section 3]) that $\overline{C}$ is a connected nodal curve of arithmetic genus g such that:

- $\underline{x}(0)\subset\overline{f}(\overline{C})$;
- any point $p\in\overline{f}^{\,-1}(E)$ is a node of $\overline{C}$ which is the intersection of two irreducible components $\overline{C}'$ and $\overline{C}''$ of $\overline{C}$, with $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')\subset Z$ and $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'')\subset\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$;
- if in addition neither $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ nor $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'')$ is entirely mapped to E, then the multiplicity of intersection of both $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ and $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'')$ with E are equal.

Given an element $\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to X_\sharp$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d,\underline{x}(0),W,J_0)$, we denote by C_1 (resp. C_0) the union of the irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ mapped to Z (resp. $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$). The next three statements are proved in [BP15, Section 2.2]. Formally, [BP15, Lemma 16, Propositions 17, and Corollary 18] are stated for $g=0$ and $\kappa=0$, however neither g nor κ plays no role in their proof once we replace [BP15, Proposition 12] by Lemma 3.1 above.

Lemma 3.12. *Given an element $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to X_\sharp$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$\overline{f}_*[C_1] = d - k[E] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f}_*[C_0] = kl_1 + (d \cdot [E] + k)l_2.$$

Moreover $c_1(Z) \cdot \overline{f}_*[C_1] = c_1(\mathcal{Z}_t) \cdot d$.

Proposition 3.13. *Assume that $\underline{x}(0) \subset Z$. Then for a generic J_0, the set $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$ is finite, and only depends on $\underline{x}(0)$ and J_0. Given $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to X_\sharp$ an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$, the restriction of $\overline{f}$ to any component of $\overline{C}$ is a simple map, and no irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ is entirely mapped to E. Moreover the curve C_1 is irreducible, and the image of any irreducible component of C_0 realizes a class l_i. The map $\overline{f}$ is the limit of a unique element of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(t), W, J_t)$ as t goes to 0.*

Next Corollary generalizes [BP15, Corollary 18] and Abramovich-Bertram-Vakil formula [AB01, Theorem 3.1.1] and [Vak00, Theorem 4.2].

Corollary 3.14. *Suppose that $\underline{x}(0) \subset Z$, and let $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to X_\sharp$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$. Define $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$ to be the set of elements $\overline{f}' : \overline{C}' \to X_\sharp$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$ such that $\overline{f}_{|C_1} = \overline{f}'_{|C_1'}$. If $\overline{f}_*[C_1] = d - k[E]$, then $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}$ contains exactly $\binom{d\cdot[E]+2k}{k}$ elements.*

3.5.2. *Proof of Theorems 3.7 and 3.10.* In the case when $g = 0$, the curve E is the only element of U, and V is empty, Theorem 3.7 is exactly [BP15, Theorem 7.1(1)]. One simply has to note that since $\mathbb{R}E \cap L = \emptyset$, all intersection points of $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_1)$ and E are τ_X-conjugated for $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(d, \underline{x}(0), W, J_0)$. In particular we must have

$$\overline{f}_*[C_1] = d - 2k[E] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f}_*[C_0] = 2kl_1 + (d \cdot [E] + 2k)l_2.$$

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

In the case when $g = 0$, the curve E is the only element of V, and U is empty, Theorem 3.10 is exactly [BP15, Theorem 7.1(2)].

In general, Theorems 3.7 and 3.10 are obtained by the straightforward adaptation of the proof of [BP15, Theorem 7.1] replacing [BP15, Section 2.2] by Section 3.5.1. ☺

4. A few concrete computations

Here we illustrate Theorem 1.1 with some explicit computations of absolute genus 0 Welschinger invariants. Again, we refer for example to [Sil89, Kol97, DK00, DK02]) for the classification of real algebraic rational surfaces.

4.1. **Real cubic surface with two real components.** Let $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a non-singular real algebraic cubic surface in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ whose real part is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of a sphere S and a real projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$, and let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the real surgery of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ along S. As a first and easy example of application of Theorem 1.1, we show how to compute absolute Welschinger invariants of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ out of those to $X_{\mathbb{R}}$.

By Example 2.4 and the classification of real cubic surfaces, we may assume that $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in three pairs of complex conjugated points. Let us denote by $E_1, \dots, E_6$ the six corresponding exceptional divisors, and let D be the pull back of a line in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ not passing through the six blown up points. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the following identities hold in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$:

$$c_1(X) = 3[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{6} [E_i] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [S] = 2[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{6} [E_i].$$

By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}P^2, F}(c_1(X), s) = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}P^2, F}(c_1(X), s) + 2W_{X_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}P^2, F}([D], s).$$

It is well known that

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(c_1(X),s) = W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(3[D],s+3) = 2-s,$$
$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}([D],s) = W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}([D],s) = 1.$$

Since $[S]$ is realizable as a union of symplectic spheres with self-intersection at least -1, it follows from [BP15, Proposition 22] that

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,[S]}(d,s) = (-1)^{\frac{d\cdot[S]}{2}} W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(d,s). \tag{7}$$

Alltogether, this gives

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(c_1(X),s) = 4-s \qquad \text{and} \qquad W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,[S]}(c_1(X),s) = -s.$$

Remark 4.1. By [BP15, Theorems 1(1) and 2], the vanishing of $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,[S]}(c_1(X),0)$ is actually a general fact. Hence we could also have used this general vanishing result to deduce the value of $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(c_1(X),0)$ out of the value $W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}([D],s)=1$ and the equation

$$0 = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(c_1(X),0) - 2W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}([D],0)$$

given by the combination of (7), Theorem 1.1, and [BP15, Theorems 1(1) and 2].

By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,F}(2c_1(X),s) = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,F}(2c_1(X),s) + 2W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,F}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i],s) + 2W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,F}(2[D],s).$$

The following values are taken from [IKS04, ABLdM11] and [Bru15, Table 4].

s	$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2c_1(X),s)$	$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i],s)$	$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2[D],s)$
0	78	40	1
1	30	16	1
2	22	0	1

Combining this with (7) we obtain

s	$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2c_1(X),s)$	$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,[S]}(2c_1(X),s)$
0	160	0
1	64	0
2	24	24

in accordance with [Bru15, Table 4].

Remark 4.2. Again, one could have used [BP15, Theorems 1(1) and 2], to compute the invariants $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2c_1(X),s)$ with $s<2$ out of the values $W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2[D],s)$, $W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(4[D],s+3)$, and the equation

$$0 = W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2c_1(X),0) - 2W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(4[D],s+3) + 2W_{\mathbb{C}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2,0}(2[D],s)$$

given by the combination of (7), Theorem 1.1, and [BP15, Theorems 1(1) and 2].

4.2. **Minimal conic bundles.** Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let X_n be the conic bundle given in an affine chart by the real equation

$$y^2 + z^2 = -\prod_{i=1}^{2n}(x - a_i)$$

where $a_1 < a_2 \ldots < a_{2n}$ are distinct real numbers. The conic bundle structure is given by the map $\rho : X_n \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ that forgets the (y, z) coordinates. Restricting the standard complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}^3$ to X_n turns this latter into a real algebraic surface $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$, and turns ρ into a real map. Note that $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ is minimal if and only if $n \geq 2$. The real part of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ is composed of the n spheres $S_i = \rho^{-1}([a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]) \cap \mathbb{R}^3$. Next lemma is a straightforward combination of [BP15, Propositions 22, 23, and 25, and Corollary 8].

Lemma 4.3. *Given $F \in H_2^{\tau_X}(X_{n,\mathbb{R}} \setminus S_1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, there exists $R \subset \mathbb{R}X_{n,\mathbb{R}} \setminus S_1$ a set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{n,\mathbb{R}} \setminus S_1$ such that*

$$|W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,F}(d, s)| = |W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[R]}(d, s)| \qquad \forall d \in H_2(X_{n,\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \forall s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

That is to say, the computation of all Welschinger invariants of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ can be reduced to the case when F is realized by a subset of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{n,\mathbb{R}} \setminus S_1$.

Now we describe how to construct recursively the real varieties $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$, up to deformation, using real surgeries along Lagrangian spheres. Let $X_{0,\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_0, \tau_{S^1,0})$ be the quadric hyperboloid in $\mathbb{C}P^3$, and let $\rho : X_{0,\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ be the (real) projection to the first factor. Consider the blow up of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ at two complex conjugated points of a fiber Φ of ρ. Then $X_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real surgery of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ along the strict transform of Φ. Suppose now that we have constructed $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$, and let Φ be a real fiber of ρ with $\mathbb{R}\Phi = \emptyset$. Then $X_{n+1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real surgery of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ along the strict transform of Φ under the blow up of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ at two complex conjugated points of Φ.

This recursive description of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ exhibit the underlying complex surface as the blow up of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ at $2n$ points, and shows that one can denote the corresponding exceptional divisors $E_1, \ldots, E_{2n}$ such that

$$[S_i] = [\Phi] - [E_{2i-1}] - [E_{2i}] \in H_2(X_n; \mathbb{Z}) \tag{8}$$

for some orientation on S_i, where Φ is a fiber of ρ. Denoting by $\widetilde{c_1(X_0)}$ the pull back of $c_1(X_0)$ by this sequence of blow ups, we have

$$c_1(X_n) = \widetilde{c_1(X_0)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n}[E_i].$$

In Proposition 4.5 we compute the invariants $W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[R]}(c_1(X_n) + b[\Phi], s)$ recursively starting from the case $n = 1$, which is treated in next proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -2}$. If $s \in \{0, \ldots, b + 1\}$, then we have*

$$W_{X_{1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,0}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], s) = 2^{2b+2-s}.$$

If $s = b + 2$, then we have

$$W_{X_{1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,0}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], b + 2) = \begin{cases} 2^{b+1} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The real manifold $X_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the quadric ellipsoid blown up at a pair of complex conjugated points. Hence one could use the methods described in [Bru15] to prove the proposition. We provide an alternative proof that illustrates applications of the method exposed in [BP15]. Here we use notations introduced in Sections 2.1 and 3.5.1. We also define $r = 2b + 5 - 2s$.

Let $\widetilde{X_{0,\mathbb{R}}}$ be the blow up of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ at two complex conjugated points on a fiber Φ of ρ, and let E be the strict transform of Φ. As explained above, $X_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is, up to deformation, obtained as the real symplectic sum $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to D$ of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ and $(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1, \omega_0, \tau_{S^1,2})$ along E. Now choose $\underline{x} : D \to \mathcal{Z}$ a set of r real sections and s pairs of conjugated real sections such that

$$|\underline{x}(0) \cap \widetilde{X_0}| = 2b + 4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\underline{x}(0) \cap (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1)| = 1.$$

Let $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \widetilde{X_0} \cup \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ be an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], \underline{x}(0), \emptyset, J_0)$. According to [BP15, Proposition 17], we have

$$\overline{f}_*[C_1] = c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi] - [E] = \widetilde{c_1(X_0)} + (b-1)[\Phi] \in H_2(X_1; \mathbb{Z}).$$

According to [BP15, Proposition 17], we have the two following possibilities:

- (i) C_1 is real and irreducible, and $\overline{f}(C_1)$ is tangent to E at a real point. In this case, it follows from [BP15, Proposition 20] that the contribution to $W_{X_{1,\mathbb{R}},S_{1,0}}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], s)$ of the two deformations of $\overline{f}$ cancel each other.
- (ii) C_1 is real and has two irreducible components C' and C''. In this case, $\overline{f}$ deforms into a unique real curve in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{C}}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], \underline{x}(t), \emptyset, J_t)$, and $\overline{f}(C_0)$ intersects E transversely into two points.

Hence it remains to estimate the contribution to $W_{X_{1,\mathbb{R}},S_{1,0}}(c_1(X_1) + b[\Phi], s)$ of the maps in case (ii) above. None of the curves $\overline{f}(C')$ nor $\overline{f}(C'')$ intersect any of the two exceptional divisor coming from the blow-ups of $X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$, therefore there exists $b_1 \in \{0, \dots, b+1\}$ such that

$$\overline{f}(C') = l_1 + b_1 l_2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{f}(C'') = l_1 + (b + 1 - b_1) l_2$$

(we still denote by l_i the strict transform the class l_i under the blow-up map). The maps $\overline{f}_{|C'}$ and $\overline{f}_{|C''}$ can be constrained respectively by at most $2b_1 + 1$ and $2b - 2b_1 + 3$ points. Since $\overline{f}_{|C_1}$ is constrained by the $2b + 4$ points in $\underline{x}(0) \cap \widetilde{X_{0,\mathbb{R}}}$, we deduce that $\overline{f}_{|C'}$ and $\overline{f}_{|C''}$ are constrained respectively by exactly $2b_1 + 1$ and $2b - 2b_1 + 3$ points.

Suppose that $r \geq 3$. In this case we have $\underline{x}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}\widetilde{X_{0,\mathbb{R}}} \neq \emptyset$, which in particular implies that both curves C' and C'' and both maps $\overline{f}_{|C'}$ and $\overline{f}_{|C''}$ are real. Let us choose $p \in \underline{x}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}\widetilde{X_{0,\mathbb{R}}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p \in \overline{f}(C')$. Since the Gromov-Witten invariant of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ for the class $l_1 + b_1 l_2$ is equal to 1 for any $b_1 \geq 0$, the map $\overline{f}$ is determined by the real subset of $\underline{x}(0) \setminus \{p_0, p\}$ that is contained in $\overline{f}(C')$. Let $\Im\underline{x}(0)$ be the set of pairs of conjugated points of $\underline{x}_0$. Given any sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}\underline{x}(0) \setminus \{p_0, p\}$ and $B \subset \Im\underline{x}(0)$, the pair among the two pairs (A, B) and $(\mathbb{R}\underline{x}(0) \setminus (A \cup \{p_0, p\}), \Im\underline{x}(0) \setminus B)$ for which the first component has an even cardinal corresponds to a real subset of $\underline{x}(0) \setminus \{p_0, p\}$ that is contained in $\overline{f}(C')$ for some map $\overline{f}$ as above. Hence there exist exactly

$$2^{r+s-3}$$

such maps. By the adjunction formula, any irreducible J-holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ realizing the class $l_1 + b_1 l_2$ is smooth. Hence the curve $\overline{f}(C_1)$ has no elliptic real node, and the proposition is proved in the case when $r \geq 3$.

Suppose now that $r = 1$. In this case $\mathbb{R}\underline{x}(0) \cap \widetilde{X_0} = \emptyset$, and there is no possibilities to decompose $x(0) \cap \widetilde{X_0}$ into the disjoint union of two real subsets of odd cardinality. As a consequence the two curves C' and C'' and the two maps $\overline{f}_{|C'}$ and $\overline{f}_{|C''}$ are complex conjugated, and each map is determined by the point in each pair of $\underline{x}(0) \setminus p_0$ through which it passes. Hence there are exactly

$$2^{s-1} = 2^{b+1}$$

such maps $\overline{f}$ if $b+2$ is odd, and 0 such maps otherwise. Since $\mathbb{R}X_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ is null-homologous in $H_2(X_0;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the curve $\overline{f}(C')$ has an even number of intersection points with $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}X_{0,\mathbb{R}}}$. Hence the proposition is proved as well when $r=1$. ☺

Proposition 4.5. *Let $n\geq 2$, $b\geq n-3$ and $s\leq b-n+3$ be three integer numbers, and let R a subset of connected components of $\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R},n}\setminus S_1$. Then we have*

$$W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[R]}(c_1(X_n)+b[\Phi],s)=\begin{cases}2^{2b+2-s} & \text{if } R=\emptyset;\\ (-1)^{b+1}\ 2^{b+n-1} & \text{if } R=\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R},n}\setminus S_1 \text{ and } s=b-n+3;\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. The fact that $W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[R]}(c_1(X_n)+b[\Phi],s)=0$ if $R\neq\emptyset$ and $s<b+n-3$ follows from [BP15, Theorems 1 and 2]. Given $n\geq 2$, Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,F}(c_1(X_n)+b[\Phi],s)=W_{X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,F}(c_1(X_{n-1})+b[\Phi],s+1)\\ +2W_{X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,F}(c_1(X_{n-1})+(b-1)[\Phi],s). \tag{9}$$

The proposition in the case when $R=\emptyset$ follows now by induction on n from (9) and Proposition 4.4.

Let us assume that $R=\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R},n}\setminus S_1$ and $s=b-n+3$. According to (8) and [BP15, Proposition 22], identity (9) becomes

$$W_{X_{n,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[\mathbb{R}X_{n,\mathbb{R}}\setminus S_1]}(c_1(X_n)+b[\Phi],s)=W_{X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[\mathbb{R}X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}}\setminus S_1]}(c_1(X_{n-1})+b[\Phi],s+1)\\ -2W_{X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[\mathbb{R}X_{n-1,\mathbb{R}}\setminus S_1]}(c_1(X_{n-1})+(b-1)[\Phi],s). \tag{10}$$

If $n=2$, then the proposition follows from (10) and Proposition 4.4. If $n\geq 3$, then the proposition follows by induction on n from (10).

The proof of the proposition when $\emptyset\neq R\subsetneq(\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R},n}\setminus S_1)$ and $s=b-n+3$ is analogous: the case $n=3$ follows from (9) and the above computation of $W_{X_{2,\mathbb{R}},S_1,[\mathbb{R}X_{2,\mathbb{R}}\setminus S_1]}(c_1(X_2)+b[\Phi],s)$, and the case $n\geq 4$ follows by induction on n from (9). ☺

4.3. **Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1.** Recall that there exist 11 deformation classes of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. In [Bru15], the values of $W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(X),0)$ is computed for 6 of these deformation classes. Here we treat the 5 remaining cases.

Recall also that the real conic bundle $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ with $n\leq 3$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree $8-2n$, and that Φ denotes a generic fiber of $X_{n,\mathbb{R}}$. We denote by $\mathbb{R}P^2_k$ the real blow-up of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ at k points (i.e. we replace k disjoint disks in $\mathbb{R}P^2$ by k Mobius strips). By "$Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by a real surgery of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ along the class γ", we mean that $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is obtained by a real surgery of a deformation of $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ for which the class γ is realized by a real Lagrangian sphere. In what follows, the existence of such deformation is guaranteed by the classification up to deformations of real algebraic rational surfaces. We define the following real del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1:

- $Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real blow up of $X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ at one real point on a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ and at two real points on the other connected component. Denoting respectively by $\widetilde{E}_1,\widetilde{E}_2,\widetilde{E}_3$ the three corresponding exceptional divisors, we have

$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y_1}}(Y_{1,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}c_1(Y_1)\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\Phi]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_2]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_3]\qquad\text{and}\qquad\mathbb{R}Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}P^2\sqcup\mathbb{R}P^2_1.$$

- $Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real blow up of $X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ at three real points on a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$. Denoting by $\widetilde{E}_1,\widetilde{E}_2,\widetilde{E}_3$ the three corresponding exceptional divisors, we have

$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y'_1}}(Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}c_1(Y'_1)\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\Phi]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_2]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_3]\qquad\text{and}\qquad\mathbb{R}Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}=S^2\sqcup\mathbb{R}P^2_2.$$

- $Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real blow up of $X_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ at a real point and two pairs of complex conjugated points. Denoting respectively by $\widetilde{E}_1, \dots, \widetilde{E}_5$ the five corresponding exceptional divisors, we have
$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y''_1}}(Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}c_1(Y''_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Phi] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}([\widetilde{E}_2]+[\widetilde{E}_3]) \oplus \mathbb{Z}([\widetilde{E}_4]+[\widetilde{E}_5])$$
and
$$\mathbb{R}Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}P^2.$$
- $Y_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real blow up of $X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ at a real point on a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_{2,\mathbb{R}}$, and a pair of complex conjugated points. Denoting respectively by $\widetilde{E}_1, \widetilde{E}_6, \widetilde{E}_7$ the three corresponding exceptional divisors, we have

$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y_2}}(Y_{2,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}c_1(Y_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Phi] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}([\widetilde{E}_6]+[\widetilde{E}_7]) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{R}Y_{2,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup S^2.$$

- $Y_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real surgery of $Y_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ along the class $[\Phi]-[\widetilde{E}_6]-[\widetilde{E}_7]$. We have
$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y_3}}(Y_{3,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}c_1(Y_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\Phi] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{R}Y_{3,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup 2S^2.$$
Note that $Y_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ is the blow-up of $X_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ at a real point.
- $Y_{4,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real surgery of $Y_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ along the class $c_1(Y_3)-[\Phi]+[\widetilde{E}_1]$. We have
$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y_4}}(Y_{4,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}c_1(Y_4) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{E}_1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{R}Y_{4,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup 3S^2.$$
Note that $Y_{4,\mathbb{R}}$ is the blow-up at a real point of a minimal real del Pezzo surface of degree 2.
- $Y_{5,\mathbb{R}}$ is the real surgery of $Y_{4,\mathbb{R}}$ along the class $c_1(Y_4)-[\widetilde{E}_1]$. We have
$$H_2^{-\tau_{Y_5}}(Y_{5,\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}c_1(Y_5) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{R}Y_{5,\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup 4S^2.$$
Note that $Y_{5,\mathbb{R}}$ is the only (up to deformation) minimal real del Pezzo surface of degree 1.

Note that $Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ is also the real blow up of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ at four pairs of complex conjugated points, and that $Y_{2,\mathbb{R}}$ can also be constructed as the real surgery of $Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ or $Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ along the class $[\Phi]-[\widetilde{E}_2]-[\widetilde{E}_3]$, as well as of $Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ along the class $[\Phi]-[\widetilde{E}_4]-[\widetilde{E}_5]$. Hence we have the following diagram



where $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} X_{\mathbb{R}}$ means that $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ are related by a real surgery along the class γ, and that $\chi(\mathbb{R}Y) = \chi(\mathbb{R}X)+2$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real del Pezzo surface of degree 1, L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\gamma \in H_2^{-\tau_Y}(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ be a class realized by an exceptional rational curve. Then*

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(c_1(Y)+\gamma,0) = -\chi(\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}})+1.$$

Proof. Let us denote by $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the real del Pezzo surface of degree 2 obtained by blowing down the exceptional rational curve realizing the class γ. Then the class $c_1(Y)+\gamma$ is the pull-back of the class $c_1(Z)$, and we have

$$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(c_1(Y)+\gamma,0) = W_{Z_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Z_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(c_1(Z),0) = -\chi(\mathbb{R}Z_{\mathbb{R}})+2.$$

This latter equality can be proved for example by an Euler characteristic computation as in [DK00, Proposition 4.7.3]. Now the result follows since $\chi(\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}Z_{\mathbb{R}})-1$. ☺

Proposition 4.7. *Let $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real del Pezzo surface of degree 1, and L be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then we have the following Welschinger invariants*

$Y_{\mathbb{R}}$	$Y_{5,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y_{4,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y_{3,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y_{2,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}$	$Y''_{1,\mathbb{R}}$
$W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y),0)$	*30*	*18*	*10*	*6*	*6*	*6*	*6*

In particular, $W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y),0)$ does not depend on the choice of L.

Proof. The cases $Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ have been computed in [Bru15][1]. Let $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ be two real algebraic surfaces as in the proposition and such that $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Suppose that there exists an immersed J-holomorphic curve C in X realizing the class $2c_1(X)-k\gamma$. Since the class γ satisfies

$$\gamma^2 = -2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma\cdot c_1(X) = 0,$$

it follows from the adjunction formula that C has genus at most $2-2k^2$. In particular we deduce that $k=0$ or 1. Hence by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$W_{X_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}X_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(X),0) = W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y),0) - 2W_{Y_{\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y)-\gamma,0).$$

Now the result follows from the values of $W_{Y_{1,\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y_{1,\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y_1),0)$ and $W_{Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}},L,[\mathbb{R}Y'_{1,\mathbb{R}}\setminus L]}(2c_1(Y'_1),0)$, and from Lemma 4.6. ☺

References

[AB01] D. Abramovich and A. Bertram. The formula $12 = 10 + 2\times 1$ and its generalizations: counting rational curves on $\mathbf{F}_2$. *Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000), Contemp. Math., 276, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001*, pages 83–88, 2001.

[ABLdM11] A. Arroyo, E. Brugallé, and L. López de Medrano. Recursive formula for Welschinger invariants. *Int Math Res Notices*, 5:1107–1134, 2011.

[BG14] F. Block and L. Göttsche. Refined curve counting with tropical geometry. arXiv:1407.2901, 2014.

[BG15] E. Brugallé and P. Georgieva. Pencils of quadrics and gromov-witten-welschinger invariants of $\mathbb{C}P^3$. arXiv:1508.02560, 2015.

[BM07] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Enumeration of curves via floor diagrams. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, série I, 345(6):329–334, 2007.

[BM08] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Floor decompositions of tropical curves : the planar case. *Proceedings of 15th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, pages 64–90, 2008.

[BP13] E. Brugallé and N. Puignau. Behavior of Welschinger invariants under Morse simplifications. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 130:147–153, 2013.

[BP15] E. Brugallé and N. Puignau. On Welschinger invariants of symplectic 4-manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 90(4):905–938, 2015.

[Bru15] E. Brugallé. Floor diagrams relative to a conic, and GW–W invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 279:438–500, 2015.

[1]Note that in the published version of [Bru15], the number $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1(4)},\overline{L}_1}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[\widetilde{E}_i]-2[\widetilde{E}_9])$ is erroneously claimed to be equal to 4 instead of 6.

[DK00] A. I. Degtyarev and V. M. Kharlamov. Topological properties of real algebraic varieties: Rokhlin's way. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 55(4):735–814, 2000.

[DK02] A. Degtyarev and V. Kharlamov. Real rational surfaces are quasi-simple. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 551:87–99, 2002.

[Dol12] I. V. Dolgachev. *Classical algebraic geometry. A modern view*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part II):560–673, 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).

[Geo13] P. Georgieva. Open Gromov-Witten disk invariants in the presence of an anti-symplectic involution. arXiv:1306.5019, 2013.

[GKP94] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. *Concrete mathematics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, second edition, 1994.

[Gom95] R. Gompf. A new construction of symplectic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 142(3):527–595, 1995.

[GS14] L. Göttsche and V. Shende. Refined curve counting on complex surfaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(4):2245–2307, 2014.

[GZ15] P. Georgieva and A. Zinger. Real Gromov-Witten theory in all genera and real enumerative geometry: Construction. arXiv:1504.06617, 2015.

[HS12] A. Horev and J. Solomon. The open Gromov-Witten-Welschinger theory of blowups of the projective plane. arXiv:1210.4034, 2012.

[IKS04] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Logarithmic equivalence of Welschinger and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 59(6):85–110, 2004. (in Russian). English version: Russian Math. Surveys 59 (2004), no. 6, 1093-1116.

[IKS09] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. A Caporaso-Harris type formula for Welschinger invariants of real toric Del Pezzo surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84:87–126, 2009.

[IKS14] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants revisited. arXiv:1409.3966, 2014.

[IKS15] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 2. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(8):1550060, 63, 2015.

[IM13] I. Itenberg and G. Mikhalkin. On Block-Göttsche multiplicities for planar tropical curves. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):5289–5320, 2013.

[IP04] E.-N Ionel and T. H. Parker. The symplectic sum formula for Gromov-Witten invariants. *Ann. of Math.*, 159(2):935–1025, 2004.

[Kol97] J. Kollár. Real algebraic surfaces. arxiv:alg-geom/9712003, 1997.

[Li02] J. Li. A degeneration formula of GW-invariants. *J. Differential Geom.*, 60(2):199–293, 2002.

[Li04] J. Li. Lecture notes on relative GW-invariants. In *Intersection theory and moduli*, ICTP Lect. Notes, XIX, pages 41–96 (electronic). Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004.

[LR01] A. Li and Y. Ruan. Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. *Invent. Math.*, 145(1):151–218, 2001.

[Mik05] G. Mikhalkin. Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in $\mathbb{R}^2$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(2):313–377, 2005.

[Mik15] G. Mikhalkin. Quantum indices of real plane curves and refined enumerative geometry. arXiv:1505.04338, 2015.

[Shu14] E. Shustin. On higher genus welschinger invariants of del pezzo surfaces. *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, 2014. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnu148.

[Shu15] E. Shustin. On welschinger invariants of descendant type. arXiv:1503.04708, 2015.

[Sil89] Robert Silhol. *Real algebraic surfaces*, volume 1392 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[Vak00] R. Vakil. Counting curves on rational surfaces. *Manuscripta math.*, 102:53–84, 2000.

[Wel05a] J. Y. Welschinger. Enumerative invariants of strongly semipositive real symplectic six-manifolds. arXiv:math.AG/0509121, 2005.

[Wel05b] J. Y. Welschinger. Invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 162(1):195–234, 2005.

[Wel05c] J. Y. Welschinger. Spinor states of real rational curves in real algebraic convex 3-manifolds and enumerative invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 127(1):89–121, 2005.

[Wel06] J. Y. Welschinger. Towards relative invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 16(5):1157–1182, 2006.

[Wel07] J. Y. Welschinger. Optimalité, congruences et calculs d'invariants des variétés symplectiques réelles de dimension quatre. arXiv:0707.4317, 2007.

[Wel11] J. Y. Welschinger. Open Gromov-Witten invariants in dimension four. arXiv:1110.2705v2, 2011.

Erwan Brugallé, École Polytechnique, Centre Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, 91 128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
E-mail address: erwan.brugalle@math.cnrs.fr